SUBJECT No. 259.

Building a bridge over the Kapila river neaf T.-Narsipur.

Mr. Rangachar, T.-Narsipur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—T.-Narsipur is on the right bank of the Kapila river, while Mysore, Kollegal, Yelandur, Santemarahalli, Chamrajnagar, Satyamangala and many other important places are on the other side of it. Many persons who go to these towns and villages have to cross the river in boats. Carriages have to be carried on boats. This involves much time and consequently causes delay in the transmission of goods and mail, and at times when there is a flood, people are put to much inconvenience. Thus a bridge over this river is badly wanted. This question is being brought forward for the last many years and no final orders have been passed.

Reply of Government.—Will be done when funds are available.

SUBJECT No. 260.

Extension of the Mandagere and Hemagiri nalas.

Mr. Nanje Gowda, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that the Mandagere and the Hemagiri nalas in the Krishnarajpete Taluk be extended for the benefit of the Government and the raiyats.

Reply of Government.—Mandagere channel.—A project for the work has already been prepared for Rs. 5,53,214 and it is now being revised by the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle. The work will be undertaken when funds become available, as already stated in Government reply to Subject No. 393 of last year.

2. *Hemagiri channel.*—The Executive Engineer Channels Division has been ordered to submit an estimate for extending the channel during the present working season.

SUBJECT No. 261.

Bridging the Bannur river.

Mr. Sheshachala Jois, Bannur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The crossing of the Cauvery river at Bannur is rendered difficult during the rainy season owing to floods and during summer on account of accumulation of sand. There is heavy traffic between Bannur, Malvalli, Kankanhalli, Halagur and other places, and the journey *viâ* Seringapatam covers a distance of 27 miles, requiring two days, and is consequently inconvenient to the travelling public. It is therefore prayed that the river at Bannur may be bridged so as to render the journey to Mysore and other places easy.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 262.

Weed-clearing.

Mr. Sheshachala Jois, Bannur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—According to the present arrangement the annual repairs of Chikdevarayasagar, Ramaswamy and other nalas are being done by the D. P. W. and weed-clearing work is kept under the supervision of the Revenue authorities. Crops, however, wither away for want of water in the middle of the cultivating season and when remission of kandayam is claimed, it is refused on the ground that the insufficiency of water-

supply, which contributed to the failure of crops, was due to the neglect of the raiyats to attend to the weed-clearing work. It is therefore prayed that this work also may be done by Government along with annual repairs.

Reply of Government.—In the circumstances stated by the Deputy Commissioner, if a proposal can be formulated by the Revenue Department for payment of the cost of such work by the raiyats and their consent be obtained thereto, an acreage cess may be levied and the weed-clearing done by the Public Works Department.

SUBJECT No. 263.

Increase of Paditara to Sri Lakshmikantaswami temple at Chamrajnagar.

Mr. Shamiengar, Chamrajnagar Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Of the two Vishnu temples at Chamrajnagar, the Sri Narayanaswami temple receives a large Paditara from the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple, but the present archaks do not discharge their duties regularly. As the ancient Sri Lakshmikantaswami temple has a tasdik of only Rs. 1-7-3 per month, it is prayed that some additional Paditara may be given to it out of the funds available at the credit of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 264.

Supervision of temples and masjids at Bannur by Municipal members.

Mr. Sheshachela Jois, Bannur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that temples and masjids which are under the Muzrai management in the Bannur Municipality may be placed under the control and management of Municipal members. The supervision of the performance of utsavams and puja and of the disbursement of pay and paditara to these establishments may also be left to the Municipal members of the respective classes.

Reply of Government.—There is no objection to Municipal members being appointed separately as Dharmadarsis to supervise the affairs of temples and masjids.

SUBJECT No. 265.

Honnajammanni Chattram at Mysore and Ganaradhane Chattram at Nanjangud.

Mr. Madappa, Jahgirdar, Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The objects with which Honnajammanni Chattram at Mysore and Ganaradhane Chattram at Nanjangud were established by His late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar, have not been realized. This was being urged on the attention of Government for the past six or seven years. Though the Muzrai Department has issued orders on this subject on 26th March 1906, yet the matter has not been disposed of. As delay means inconvenience to Lingayet travellers to Mysore and Nanjangud, early steps may be taken to set it right.

Reply of Government.—It is not possible to revive feeding in the Ganaradhane Chattram at Nanjangud. The question of opening a Lingayet chattram in Mysore City is under correspondence.